# Use of Plant Bioregulators to Stimulate Embryo Growth and Loosen Fruit to Increase Split Nut Yield of Pistachio

C.J. Lovatt and Y. Zheng Department of Botany and Plant Sciences-072 University of California-Riverside Riverside, CA 92521-0124 USA

Keywords: *Pistacia vera* 'Kerman', tree phenology, initiation of nut fill, harvest efficiency, fruit abscission

### Abstract

Nut size and split nut yield are key economic factors in commercial pistachio (Pistacia vera 'Kerman') production. Nut size tends to decrease as trees age and can be significantly reduced during the on-crop year. Whereas plant bioregulators (PBRs) are powerful tools for solving production problems in the field, research developing PBR strategies for the California pistachio industry has been limited. Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), 3,5,6-trichloropyridyloxyacetic acid (3,5,6-TPA) and 1-(2chloro-4-pyridyl)-3-phenylurea (CPPU) were tested for their capacity to stimulate embryo growth and increase nut size. Abscisic acid (ABA), methyljasmonic acid (MJA), 2-chloroethylphosphonic acid (CEPA) and 2,3,5-tri-iodobenzoic acid (TIBA) were tested for their capacity to loosen mature fruit and increase the number of fruit (nuts) harvested. Branch studies testing multiple application times, rates, and combinations of PBRs were undertaken with the goal of increasing split nut (dry weight) yield. Application of  $GA_3$  (170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) during late bloom-early fruit set (20 May), CPPU (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) at the initiation of the period of exponential embryo growth (initiation of nut fill, INF) (10 June), and the combination of GA<sub>3</sub> and CPPU 30 days after INF numerically, but not statistically, increased split nut (dry weight) yield per branch. TIBA (125 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) applied 4 days before harvest was the most effective fruit-loosening treatment. MJA (10 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) applied 14 days before harvest was nearly as effective as TIBA. Both treatments reduced adherence of fruit tissues to the seed (nut), thereby reducing shell staining. Neither treatment caused significant leaf drop. This is the first research testing these PBR strategies on pistachio. Our results identify PBR concentrations and application times that numerically increase yield parameters by large percentages, as well as some that cause significant negative effects, making our data valuable to PBR researchers in all pistachioproducing countries.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Nut size and the total yield of split nuts on a dry weight basis are key economic factors in commercial pistachio (*Pistacia vera* 'Kerman') production. Nut size tends to decrease as trees age and nut size can be significantly reduced during a heavy on-crop year in an alternate bearing orchard. Use of plant bioregulators (PBRs) to increase nut size, especially in an on-crop year, might contribute significantly to keeping California pistachio growers competitive in the international market. Harvest efficiency is also an important economic factor in pistachio production that might be improved through the use of PBRs. Loosening fruit to increase the number of trees that can be harvested per unit time and to eliminate the need to go back through the orchard to harvest fruit that remain on the tree after the first harvest would be of great economic benefit to pistachio growers and contribute to sustaining the California pistachio industry.

Whereas PBRs are powerful tools for solving production and quality problems in an established orchard, use of PBRs on nut crops has been limited. The auxin 2,4dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) was used to reduce alternate bearing of pistachio with minimal success, but 2,4-D enhanced nut maturity (Gawad and Ferguson, 1987). In contrast, application of 6-benzyladenine (6-BA) combined with low-biuret urea to oncrop pistachio trees increased retention of floral buds and increased the kilograms of split nuts (dry weight) per tree the following off-crop year (Lovatt et al., 2005). Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) enhanced all yield parameters of peanut (Bishnoi and Krishnamoorthy, 1995). Use of GA<sub>3</sub> to reduce fruit drop during fruit set of almond delayed maturation. Additionally, it was determined that large almonds had higher endogenous indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) concentrations than small almonds (Koukourikou-Petridou, 2003). Ethephon (2-chloroethylphosphonic acid [CEPA]), an ethylene-releasing agent, is used to promote uniform nut abscission of macadamia (Nagao and Hirae, 1992) and as a harvest aid for walnut (http://ucipm.ucdavis.edu/PMG/r881900711.html).

In three separate experiments, we screened the efficacy of PBRs to increase nut size and increase harvest efficiency. Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), 3,5,6-trichloropyridyl-oxyacetic acid (3,5,6-TPA) and 1-(2-chloro-4-pyridyl)-3-phenylurea (CPPU) were tested for their capacity to stimulate embryo growth and increase nut size. Abscisic acid (ABA), methyljasmonic acid (MJA), 2-chloroethylphosphonic acid (CEPA) and 2,3,5-tri-iodobenzoic acid (TIBA) were tested for their capacity to loosen mature fruit and increase the number of fruit harvested versus the proportion left on the tree after mechanical shaking. Since success in bringing about a desired outcome from the foliar application of any PBR is dependent on both concentration and time of application, branch studies were undertaken to test multiple application times, rates and combinations of PBRs with the overall research goal of increasing split nut (dry weight) yield per branch of 'Kerman' pistachio.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The research was conducted in a commercial orchard of 19-year-old 'Kerman' pistachio scions on Pioneer Gold I (Pistacia integerrima) rootstock at the University of California Kearney Research and Education Center in Parlier, Calif. (36.63 °N, 119.47 °W). Data trees were selected for uniform health, size, vigor and crop load. Treatments were in addition to standard cultural practices. The orchard was monitored annually to determine spring bud break, full bloom, fruit set, embryo development and fruit growth and maturation for the purpose of timing treatment applications to key stages of tree phenology. Calendar dates are given below in parentheses for convenience.

To stimulate embryo growth and increase nut size the following PBRs were applied during late bloom-early fruit set (20 May), at the initiation of exponential embryo growth (initiation of nut fill, INF) (10 June), 20 days after INF (30 June), and 30 days after INF (10 July): gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) (85 and 170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>); 1-(2-chloro-4-pyridyl)-3-phenylurea (CPPU, forchlorfenuron) (10 and 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>); 3,5,6-trichloropyridyloxyacetic acid (3,5,6-TPA) (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>); GA<sub>3</sub> (170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>); and GA<sub>3</sub> (170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). The following year, GA<sub>3</sub> (85 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), CPPU (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and GA<sub>3</sub> (85 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) were applied at the initiation of exponential embryo growth (initiation of nut fill, INF) (5 July), 15 days after INF (20 July), 30 days after INF (7 August), 45 days after INF (21 August) and on both 5 July and 7 August.

To increase harvest efficiency the following PBRs were applied 14, 11 and 4 days before commercial harvest to loosen the fruit: (2-chloroethylphosphonic acid [CEPA]) (100, 500 and 1,000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), methyljasmonic acid (MJA) (10 and 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), abscisic acid (ABA) (250 and 500 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), 2,3,5-tri-iodobenzoic acid (TIBA) (125 and 250 mg L<sup>-1</sup>); and ABA (250 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with TIBA (250 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied 11 days before harvest.

Each PBR treatment (concentration x application time) was applied to a branch on one of two trees comprising a block in a randomized complete block design. Each treatment was replicated eight times, with the control replicated 16 times (i.e., one control branch per tree in order to correct for tree to tree variation within a block). BPRs were applied in sufficient volume to provide good canopy coverage using a 2758 KPa handgun sprayer and protective barriers to prevent treatment sprays from drifting onto neighboring branches. At each application time the number of fruit (nuts) per branch was determined.

At the time of commercial harvest, we determined the final fruit count and nut size (including fresh and dry weight of the exocarp and fresh and dry weight of the seed [nut]), the proportion of split nuts versus non-split nuts, and the proportion of aborted and blank nuts, on a dry weight basis. The effects of the PBR treatments on exocarp removal and staining of the seed coat (nut shell) were evaluated.

In the fruit-loosening experiment, data branches were enclosed in paper bags to catch the fruit during commercial harvest with a mechanical shaker. After harvest, the number of fruit removed by mechanical harvesting and the number of fruit remaining on each branch were counted. The number of fruit that abscised after treatment but before harvest was calculated as follows: initial fruit number minus the sum of the number of fruit collected in the bags and the number of fruit remaining on the tree after harvest.

#### **Statistical Analyses**

The data were analyzed using the General Linear Model procedure of the SAS 9.2 statistical program (SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, N.C.). Analysis of variance was used to test for treatment effects on yield in grams per branch and nut quality parameters. To accommodate the non-parametric nature of data expressed as a percent, means were transformed by determining the square root of the arcsine. Means were separated using Fisher's protected LSD test at P=0.05.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Effect of PBR Treatments on Yield and Nut Quality

No PBR treatment increased the yield of total fruit or split nuts per branch over that of the untreated control in the first year of the study. However,  $GA_3$  (170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied 30 days after INF increased the yield of fruit per branch 25% above that of the untreated control.  $GA_3$  (170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied 20 days after INF increased yield of split nuts per branch 34% over that of the untreated control. No PBR significantly reduced the proportion of nuts with aborted embryos or the proportion of blank nuts, which was only 1% for the untreated control (Table 1).

Several PBR treatments had statistically significant negative effects on yield and nut quality parameters. Application of 3,5,6-TPA (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) during the late bloom-early fruit set period (20 May) significantly reduced the fruit yield (g fresh wt/branch) by 43% compared to the untreated control (P=0.0205) and significantly below that of numerous other PBR treatments (Table 1). The yield of split nuts (dry wt) per branch was significantly reduced when 3,5,6-TPA (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) was applied alone or in combination with GA<sub>3</sub> and CPPU at late bloom-early fruit set or at INF (P=0.0028). These treatments also significantly reduced the biomass of individual embryos (P<0.0001). The proportion of nuts with aborted embryos was significantly increased by the following treatments: 3,5,6-TPA (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) at INF; GA<sub>3</sub> (170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and 3,5,6-TPA (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) at INF, 20 days after INF, and 30 days after INF; and GA<sub>3</sub> (170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied on all four treatment dates (P<0.0001). Six PBR treatments increased the number of blank nuts, but application of GA<sub>3</sub> (170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and 3,5,6-TPA (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) at late bloom-early fruit set increased the proportion of blank nuts the most, from 1% for the untreated control to 21% (P<0.0001).

The more promising treatments were tested again the following year. Application of GA<sub>3</sub> (85 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) at 30 days after INF increased fruit yield (g fresh wt/branch) compared to all other treatments (P=0.0776), resulting in the greatest yield of split nuts per branch, 40% more than the untreated control but not statistically significant (Table 2). Whereas no PBR treatment reduced fruit yield, CPPU (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied at INF and also 30 days after INF had a statistically significant negative effect on the yield of split nuts (P=0.0078). No PBR treatment had a positive effect on embryo biomass or the proportion of aborted embryos. Interestingly, there were

no blank nuts in any treatment. Two treatments increased the proportion of nuts with aborted embryos:  $GA_3$  (85 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied at INF; and CPPU (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied at INF and also 30 days after INF (*P*=0.0148).

# Effect of PBR Treatments on Harvest Efficiency

The highest percentage of harvest Effective (98%) resulted from the application of TIBA (125 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) 4 days before harvest (Table 3). Both CEPA (500 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied 4 days before harvest and MJA (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied 14 days before harvest achieved 94% harvested fruit. In contrast, application of the 5-fold higher concentration of MJA (50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) 14 days before harvest resulted in the greatest amount of fruit abscission (10%) during the period from application to just before harvest, moreover an additional 10% of the fruit remained on the tree after harvest. Three PBR treatments resulted in 11% and 12% less fruit harvested than the untreated control: CEPA (100 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied 4 days before harvest; TIBA (250 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied 11 days before harvest. These treatments left 27, 24 and 25% of the total fruit remaining on the trees after harvest, respectively.

MJA and TIBA caused advanced senescence of fruit tissues, which significantly reduced their adherence to the surface of the nut, facilitating removal and decreasing shell staining. Both are beneficial effects. No PBR treatment increased leaf abscission.

### CONCLUSIONS

GA<sub>3</sub> combined with CPPU applied 30 days after the initiation of exponential embryo growth (initiation of nut fill, INF) was the most effective treatment in both years of the study. Use of the lower concentration of each PBR in year 2 improved the efficacy of this treatment. In year 2, application of  $GA_3$  (85 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (10 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) 30 days after INF increased the yield of fruit (fresh wt) per branch compared to all other treatments (P=0.0776), resulting in 43% more split nuts (dry wt) per branch than the untreated control (not significant). TIBA (125 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) applied 4 days before harvest increased the number of fruit harvested. Similar results were obtained with CEPA (500 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) applied 4 days before harvest and MJA (10 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) applied 14 days before harvest. The TIBA and MJA treatments increased the ease with which the nut was separated from the fruit and decreased the incidence of shell staining. Over the range of concentrations and application times tested, the proportion of harvested fruit obtained with MJA varied from only 82 to 94%, whereas TIBA, CEPA and ABA treatments produced percentages of harvested fruit that were 10% lower as well as higher than the control. Thus, MJA may be more reliable. The promising treatments reported herein now need to be tested as full canopy sprays in commercial pistachio orchards.

The results of this research identified PBR strategies (concentrations and application times) that show promise for increasing the yield of split nuts (dry weight basis) of 'Kerman' pistachio, as well as some that cause significant negative effects, making these data valuable to PBR researchers in pistachio-producing countries.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported in part by the Citrus Research Center and Agricultural Experiment Station of the University of California, Riverside. The authors thank Dr. Themis Michailides for the use of the pistachio trees in his orchard and Chuck Boldwyn for managing the orchard located at the University of California Kearney Research and Education Center, Parlier, CA. The authors also thank Larry Summers for his technical assistance.

# Literature Cited

Bishnoi, N.R. and Krishnamoorthy, H.N. 1995. Effect of waterlogging and gibberellic acid on growth and yield of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Indian J. Plant Phys. 38(1):45-47.

Gawad, H.A. and Ferguson, L. 1987. Enhancement of pistachio nut ripening by auxin

- application. HortScience 22(5):1039-1039. Koukourikou-Petridou, M.A. 2003. The relation between the levels of extractable and diffusible IAA in almond fruits and their "June drop". Plant Growth Regulat. 39(2):107-112.
- Lovatt, C.J., Daoudi, H. and Ferguson, L. 2005. Foliar-applied cytokinins and nitrogen reduce alternate bearing and increase cumulative yield of pistachio. Acta Hort. 727:353-364.
- Nagao, M.A. and Hirae, H.H. 1992. Macadamia: cultivation and physiology. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 10(5):441-47.

set (20 May) after INF (10	and UA <sub>3</sub> (1/0 mg ), the period of ext ) July) on total frui	L') combined with CFPU (2 ponential embryo growth (ini it fresh weight and split nut d	20 mg L 7) and 2,3 itiation of nut fill, Iry weight per bran	,o-1PA (10 mg INF) (10 June), ch and nut quali	L ) applied dur 20 days after IN ty of 'Kerman'	ing late bloom VF (30 June) ai pistachio (Yea	-early fruit nd 30 days r 1).
reatment	Concentration	Application time	Total fruit fresh	Split nut dry	Embryo dry	Aborted	Blank
	$(mg L^{-1})$		wt.	wt.	wt.	embryo	nuts
			(g/branch)	(g/branch)	(mg/nut)	( %)	( %)
$\mathbf{j}\mathbf{A}_3$	85	Late bloom-early fruit set	$102.23 \text{ abcd}^{z}$	6.21 abcd	17.03 bcdef	29 fghij	1 fg
$\mathrm{JA}_3$	170	Late bloom-early fruit set	122.23 ab	8.40 a	20.56 abc	19 j	1 fg
CPPU	10	Late bloom-early fruit set	94.13 bcd	8.08 ab	14.95 cdefg	19 j	2 fg
CPPU	20	Late bloom-early fruit set	105.30 abcd	4.01 abcdef	18.26 bcd	24 hij	1 fg
,5,6-TPA	10	Late bloom-early fruit set	59.76 e	0.96 ef	9.22 gh	24 hij	0 g 0
<b>JA<sub>3</sub>+CPPU</b>	170 + 20	Late bloom-early fruit set	105.83 abcd	1.94 def	18.52 abcd	29 efghij	2 fg
GA3+CPPU +3,5,6-TPA	170+10+10	Late bloom-early fruit set	81.95 de	0.81 ef	10.35 efgh	31 defghij	1 fg
ĴÅ3	85	INF	102.03 abcd	6.18 abcd	19.32 abcd	26 hij	1 fg
$\mathrm{JA}_3$	170	INF	106.63 abcd	5.58 abcde	17.96 bcd	32 defghij	3 efg
CPPU	10	INF	118.80 abc	8.58 a	18.21 bcd	22 ij	7 cde
CPPU	20	INF	107.64 abcd	4.05 abcdef	14.48 cdefg	42 bcde	3 efg
3,5,6-TPA	10	INF	87.77 cde	0.21 f	6.38 h	48 bc	2 fg
GA₃+CPPU	170+20	INF	107.13 abcd	4.28 abcdef	18.00 bcd	29 efghij	0 g
∃A <sub>3</sub> +CPPU +3,5,6-TPA	170 + 10 + 10	INF	94.38 bcd	0.31 f	5.91 h	62 a	1 fg
$3A_3$	85	20 days after INF	104.86 abcd	8.29 a	17.21 bcde	28 hij	1 fg
$\mathrm{GA}_3$	170	20 days after INF	102.39 abcd	8.95 a	16.35 bcdefg	27 hij	2 fg

Table 1. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> (85 and 170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), CPPU (10 and 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), 3,5,6-TPA (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), GA<sub>3</sub> (170 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) combined with CPPU (20 mg I<sup>-1</sup>) and 3,5,6-TPA (10 mg I<sup>-1</sup>) and indiad during late bloom-early finit

Treatment	Concentration	Application time	Total fruit fresh	Split nut dry	Embryo dry	Aborted	Blank
	$(mg L^{-1})$		wt.	wt.	wt.	embryo	nuts
			(g/branch)	(g/branch)	(mg/nut)	(%)	( %)
CPPU	10	20 days after INF	81.09 de	8.82 a	12.22 defgh	28 ghij	6 def
CPPU	20	20 days after INF	94.16 bcd	2.31 cdef	16.89 bcdef	41 bcdefg	2 efg
3,5,6-TPA	10	20 days after INF	110.96 abcd	4.15 abcdef	19.91 abc	36 cdefgh	4 efg
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU	170 + 20	20 days after INF	92.40 bcd	4.14 abcdef	17.89 bcd	25 hij	2 fg
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU +3,5,6-TPA	170+10+10	20 days after INF	80.15 de	3.17 bcdef	9.69 fgh	49 b	10 bcd
GÅ3	85	30 days after INF	122.33 ab	4.55 abcdef	25.89 a	25 hij	14 b
$GA_3$	170	30 days after INF	95.11 bcd	4.96 abcdef	18.44 abcd	27 hij	5 defg
CPPU	10	30 days after INF	116.37 abc	4.93 abcdef	23.66 ab	21 ij	3 efg
CPPU	20	30 days after INF	109.70 abcd	6.83 abcd	17.33 bcde	32 defghij	21 a
3,5,6-TPA	10	30 days after INF	104.66 abcd	4.29 abcdef	17.63 bcde	34 defghi	12 bc
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU	170+20	30 days after INF	129.88 a	5.82 abcde	21.34 abc	34 defghi	6 def
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU +3,5,6-TPA	170+10+10	30 days after INF	95.91 bcd	4.40 abcdef	14.03 cdefg	44 bcd	3 efg
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU	170 + 20	All application days	122.43 ab	2.88 cdef	15.82 cdefg	42 bcdef	4 efg
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU	170 + 20	20+30 days after INF	115.54 abc	6.98 abc	19.26 abcd	24 hij	4 efg
Control			104.39 abcd	6.70 abcd	19.53 abcd	27 hij	1 fg
<i>P</i> -value			0.0205	0.0028	< 0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
<sup>z</sup> Values in a vertical	column followed by d	ifferent letters are significantly e	different at the specified	I P-value by Fisher	's protected LSD to	est.	

Table 1. Continued.

Treatment	Application time	Total fruit fresh	Split nuts dry	Embryo dry	Split nuts	Aborted	Blank nuts
	4	wt.	wt.	wt.	(%)	embryos	(%)
		(g/branch)	(g/branch)	(mg/nut)	~	(%)	× *
Control		$85.04 \text{ bc}^{z}$	13.99 abcde	6.78	43 abc	39 bcde	0
$GA_3$	INF	70.23 bc	8.05 ef	7.76	23 def	50 abc	0
CPPU	INF	88.28 bc	10.79 cdef	8.68	25 cdef	47 abcd	0
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU	INF	58.96 c	7.07 ef	4.58	30 bcdef	58 a	0
$GA_3$	15 days after INF	77.31 bc	17.91 ab	3.95	52 a	35 cde	0
CPPU	15 days after INF	73.81 bc	11.37 bcdef	5.20	40 abcde	36 cde	0
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU	15 days after INF	77.42 bc	13.62 abcde	5.04	38 abcde	42 abcde	0
$GA_3$	30 days after INF	76.92 bc	13.94 abcde	4.56	45 ab	41 abcde	0
CPPU	30 days after INF	82.00 bc	13.19 abcdef	6.55	41 abcd	42 abcde	0
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU	30 days after INF	120.99 a	19.56 a	9.40	42 abcd	33 de	0
$GA_3$	45 days after INF	97.48 ab	16.92 abc	8.45	48 ab	26 e	0
CPPU	45 days after INF	80.06 bc	15.28 abcd	7.58	49 a	37 cde	0
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU	45 days after INF	89.44 b	13.80 abcde	6.33	43 abc	41 abcde	0
$GA_3$	INF + 30 after INF	79.33 bc	13.54 abcdef	5.46	46 ab	35 cde	0
CPPU	INF + 30 after INF	87.60 bc	6.64 f	13.15	16 f	58 a	0
GA <sub>3</sub> +CPPU	INF + 30 after INF	72.51 bc	9.31 def	5.49	22 ef	56 ab	0
<i>P</i> -value		0.0776	0.0078	0.2791	0.0018	0.0148	0.2333
<sup>z</sup> Values in a verti	cal column followed by dif	fferent letters are signific	cantly different at the spe	scified <i>P</i> -value by F	isher's protected LS	SD test.	

Table 2. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> (85 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), CPPU (10 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and GA<sub>3</sub> combined with CPPU applied at the initiation of exponential embryo growth (initiation of nut fill, INF) (5 July), 15 days after INF (20 July), 30 days after INF (7 August), 45 days after INF (21 August)

Table 3. The effect of CEPA (100, 500 and 1,000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), MJA (10 and 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), ABA (250 and 500 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), TIBA (125 and 250 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and the combination of ABA (250 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) plus TIBA (250 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) applied to branches of 'Kerman' pistachio 14, 10 and 4 days before commercial harvest by mechanical shaking on the proportion of fruit harvested, fruit that abscised after treatment but before harvest, and fruit remaining on the tree after harvest.

Treatment	Concentration	Application time	Harvest	Remaining	Abscised
	$(mg L^{-1})$	(no. of days before	fruit	fruit	fruit
		harvest)	(%)	(%)	(%)
CEPA	100	14	92	6	2
CEPA	500	14	79	20	1
CEPA	1000	14	85	11	4
MJA	10	14	94	4	2
MJA	50	14	82	8	10
ABA	250	14	81	17	1
ABA	500	14	78	21	1
TIBA	125	14	84	10	6
TIBA	250	14	89	5	6
CEPA	100	11	92	7	1
CEPA	500	11	74	25	1
CEPA	1000	11	79	18	3
MJA	10	11	89	8	3
MJA	50	11	89	11	0
ABA	250	11	82	16	2
ABA	500	11	88	12	0
TIBA	125	11	86	9	5
TIBA	250	11	72	24	4
ABA+TIBA	250+250	11	71	25	4
CEPA	500	4	94	3	3
CEPA	1000	4	89	10	1
MJA	10	4	83	14	3
MJA	50	4	90	10	0
ABA	250	4	86	12	2
ABA	500	4	77	20	3
TIBA	125	4	98	1	1
TIBA	250	4	91	8	1
Control			83	15	2
<i>P</i> -value			0.7703	0.5767	0.7985

<sup>z</sup>Values in a vertical column followed by different letters are significantly different at the specified *P*-value by Fisher's protected LSD test.